## **BLIND Review Form**

## The International Conference on Intelligent Systems Iasi 2010

(filled in by the	Editorial Staff)					
Paper #: <b>Reviewer name</b> :						
	(filled in by the I	Editorial Staff)				
	ed in by the Editori					
Review received		,				
		R	eferee's Evaluation			
To be sent befo	ore:					
Title of the paper						
Author(s):	BLIND					
If there is any such cases.	suspicion of fraud	d, please provid	de all relevant inform	nation here. No	further reviewing is no	eded in
☐ YES. I do s	suspect fraud ba	ased on the fa	cts:			
,	F					
/ 11		1 1				
(you may add e	xtra pages if need	lea)				
□ NO I have	no fraud suspic	ion The revie	avy io:			
■ NO, I have	no naud suspic	Jon. The levi	zw 18.			
Scientific novel	ltw•					
□ excellent	very high	☐ high	□ good	☐ modest	☐ no novelty	
- cacchent	• very mgn	- Ingn	■ good	- modest	ino noverty	
Applicative no	velty:					
□ excellent	very high	☐ high	□ good	☐ modest	no novelty	
	, ,	C	C		•	
Correctness:						
no error	a few minor	errors	$\square$ some errors	□ es	sentially erroneous	
© Horia-Nicola	ai Teodorescu, 19	97-2010				1

(please comment)							
Scientific overa	<b>ll quality:</b> ☐ very high	☐ high	☐ fair	☐ modest	☐ no scientific content		
Language:	□ correct	☐ a few corrections		□ poor	☐ incomprehensible		
Clarity: Organization: Style:	☐ excellent	☐ good	☐ fair	☐ poor	☐ unreadable		
	☐ excellent	☐ good	☐ fair	□ poor	☐ chaotic		
20,20	☐ concise	☐ a little verbos	se 🗖 too	verbose			
The topic of this paper fits this Conference:  ☐ perfectly ☐ well ☐ fairly☐ poorly ☐ does not fit							
<ul><li>□ only a few sp</li><li>□ a reasonable</li><li>□ a reasonable</li><li>□ possibly som</li><li>□ maybe some</li></ul>	rs applying intelli becialists of high le proportion of the proportion of eng e scientists in the doctoral students	evel audience of this c ineers applying in	ntelligent systems	r			
References:  * essential references: □ OK □ some are missing □ completely missing □ not the case* (* this paper opens a new field; nothing similar exists in the literature)  * recent similar works: □ OK □ missing □ not the case (no similar research exists)  * are appropriate: □ OK □ (some) references are not useful □ not the case  * are cited according to the recommendations in the Instructions for Authors? □ yes □ no							
Manuscript typ * is it according * formulas are re * formulas are ne	to the Instructions cadable:	s for Authors?	□ yes □ yes □ no	□ no □ no			
Figures and tables:  * are correctly and clearly drawn, yet having reasonable dimensions for the content? ☐ yes  * are relevant to the paper and appealing? ☐ yes ☐ no							
<b>Keywords:</b> * are they given?	,	□ yes	□ no				

* are they relevan	nt?	☐ yes	☐ only partly	☐ rather irrelevant
Title: □ OK	☐ not enough in	nformative	☐ not reflecting the conto	ent 🗖 too long
□ publish after □ can be publish the authors, with □ ask to the aut □ modest paper	od paper; ch, if space is ava minor revision ar shed only if majo a comments; thor(s) to reduce to re recommend for the paper: recommend	nd improvements or revisions and in the paper to a sho possible publication.	r improvements and revision (comments included); improvements (comments in increase of the communication or a technion in another conference Point in another conference	cluded) are made; send the paper to nical note;
Essential Sugge  Suggested Ti				
Suggested ke	ywords:			
Suggested re	ferences:			
Review Summa	ry (referee's cor	mments) 🖋		
Romanian Aca		ŕ	ii Teodorescu 11, Iasi 700506, Roma	nia

We will be grateful to all those who will send us their comments and suggestions in order to improve our publication policy, and the refereeing procedure.