

---

*Review Form*

**The International Conference on Intelligent Systems Iasi 2006**

---

Paper #: (filled in by the Editorial Staff)

**Reviewer name:**

Review sent on:.....(filled in by the Editorial Staff)

Reviewer #: (filled in by the Editorial Staff)

Review received on: .....

---

**Referee's Evaluation**

**To be sent before :**

Title of the paper:

Author(s):

---

**Scientific novelty:**

excellent     very high     high     good     modest     no novelty

**Applicative novelty:**

excellent     very high     high     good     modest     no novelty

**Correctness:**

no error     a few minor errors     some errors     essentially erroneous  
(please comment)

**Scientific overall quality:**

excellent     very high     high     fair     modest     no scientific content

**Language:**

correct     a few corrections     poor     incomprehensible

**Clarity:**

excellent     good     fair     poor     unreadable

**Organization:**

excellent     good     fair     poor     chaotic

**Style:**

concise     a little verbose     too verbose

---

**The topic of this paper fits this Conference:**

perfectly     well     fairly     poorly     does not fit

**Audience of the paper:**

- most specialists in the field
- most engineers applying intelligent systems
- only a few specialists of high level
- a reasonable proportion of the audience of this conference
- a reasonable proportion of engineers applying intelligent systems
- possibly some scientists in the field
- maybe some doctoral students
- I wonder if someone will be interested by the content of this paper

**References:**

- \* essential references:     OK                     some are missing                     completely missing  
                                   not the case\* (\* this paper opens a new field; nothing similar exists in the literature)
- \* recent similar works:     OK                     missing                     not the case (no similar research exists)
- \* are appropriate:             OK                     (some) references are not useful                     not the case
- \* are cited according to the recommendations in the Instructions for Authors?     yes     no

**Manuscript typing:**

- \* is it according to the Instructions for Authors?     yes                     no
- \* formulas are readable:                                     yes                     no
- \* formulas are numbered:                                    yes                     no

**Figures and tables:**

- \* are correctly and clearly drawn?                     yes                     no
- \* are relevant?     yes                     no

**Keywords:**

- \* are given?      yes                     no
- \* are they relevant?                                         yes                     only partly                     rather irrelevant

**Title:**

- OK                     not enough informative                     not reflecting the content                     too long

**Final opinion:**

- publish, an excellent paper;
- publish, a good paper;
- publish as such, if space is available, or send for improvements and revision (comments included);

- publish after minor revision and improvements (comments included);
- can be published only if major revisions and improvements (comments included) are made; send the paper to the authors, with comments;
- ask to the author(s) to reduce the paper to a short communication or a technical note;
- modest paper: recommend for possible publication in another conference Proceedings;
- magazine-type paper: recommend for publication in another conference
- poor paper, reject

### **Essential Suggestions**

 Suggested Title:

 Suggested keywords:

 Suggested references:

### **Review Summary (referee's comments)**

---

**To be sent back to:** Prof. Horia-Nicolai Teodorescu  
Technical University of Iasi, Copou 11, Iasi 700506, Romania  
e-mail: [hteodor@etc.tuiasi.ro](mailto:hteodor@etc.tuiasi.ro) , [mferaru@etc.tuiasi.ro](mailto:mferaru@etc.tuiasi.ro)

---

We will be grateful to all those who will send us their comments and suggestions in order to improve our publication policy, and the refereeing procedure.